Comparison of maximal oxygen uptake and anaerobic threshold in soccer and handball players
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Abstract

Purpose: The purpose of this study was to compare maximal oxygen uptake and anaerobic threshold values between soccer and handball players.

Material: 10 male professional soccer players and 10 male professional handball players took part in the study. Maximal oxygen uptake (VO2max) and anaerobic threshold (AT) were assessed using an incremental treadmill test. AT was estimated noninvasively using the V-slope method. The values of VO2 (ATVO2), heart rate (ATHR), time (ATTIME) and running speed (ATSPEED) corresponding to the AT were identified. AT was recorded as a percentage of VO2max (AT %VO2max) and HRmax (AT %HRmax). Time to exhaustion was determined as the total duration of the test.

Results: There were no significant differences between the two groups in the ATVO2, AT %VO2max, ATHR, ATTIME, absolute ATVO2 (ml min⁻¹), relative ATVO2 (ml kg⁻¹min⁻¹), HRmax (AT %HRmax), running speed (ATSPEED), heart rate (ATHR) and time to exhaustion (ATTIME) (P> 0.05). Similarly, there were no significant differences between the two groups in the AT %VO2max, AT %HRmax, running speed (ATSPEED), absolute ATVO2 (ml min⁻¹), relative ATVO2 (ml kg⁻¹min⁻¹) and ATTIME (P> 0.05).

Conclusions: Although soccer and handball require different movement patterns, they exhibit similar aerobic endurance capacity. Hence physiological requirements in both branches may be expected to be similar in relation to training volume and intensity.
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Introduction

Team sports such as soccer and handball are complex intermittent games requiring the repetition of high intensity activities with brief recovery periods [1, 2]. In soccer and handball, technical and tactical skills as well as the physical performance capacity of the player are the most important factors that contribute to the success of a team in competitions. Competitive soccer and handball are a demanding sport that requires speed, agility, strength, muscular power and aerobic fitness [3, 4, 5]. Players require the ability to perform repeated maximal or near maximal intensity activities such as jumping, sprinting and changing of direction throughout the match [6, 7, 8]. The energy requirement is met by anaerobic metabolism during high intensity exercise periods while aerobic metabolism gains importance in order to supply homeostatic conditions at recovery intervals [9-11]. Improvements in aerobic capacity may not only be important for endurance performance, but also intermittent activities [9, 12].

Aerobic endurance is one of the main fitness components, important for success in soccer and handball [7, 13, 14]. VO2max and anaerobic threshold (AT) are the most important parameters used in the evaluation of aerobic endurance [6, 15, 16]. Measurements of VO2max, which is defined as the highest oxygen uptake that can be achieved during dynamic exercise with large muscle groups [17], are widely used to assess an individual’s capacity for the uptake, transport and utilization of oxygen [18]. During exercise, the oxygen consumption above which aerobic energy production is supplemented by anaerobic mechanisms, and which results in a significant increase in lactate and metabolic acidosis, is termed the AT [19]. AT can be determined from non-invasive gas exchange measurements alternative to the measurements of blood lactate concentration (lactate threshold), in this case referred to as the ventilatory threshold [20, 21]. Ventilator anaerobic threshold corresponds to the non-linear increase in carbon dioxide production (VCO2) and ventilation due to the bicarbonate buffering of hydrogen ions (H⁺) in response to the systematic increase of blood lactate above resting values [21].

Physiological measurements of VO2max and AT have commonly been used to monitor the training status of athletes and can help to determine the training regime [16, 22]. Knowing the VO2max and AT values of the athletes may be important in terms of contributing to the understanding of the physiological requirements of different sport branches. The purpose of this study was to determine differences in VO2max and AT values of soccer and handball players.

Material and methods

Participants

Ten male handball players from the Second Turkish Division and ten male soccer players from the Third Turkish Division volunteered to participate in the study. The demographic characteristics of football and handball players are given in Table 1. The Erciyes University Ethics Committee approved the study (2013/693). All testing procedures were fully explained, and written informed consent was obtained for each subject. All measurements took place at the High Altitude and Sports Science Research and Implementation Center at Erciyes University. All the tests were conducted at the end of the season.

Cardiopulmonary exercise test
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The VO2max and AT values of the athletes were determined from a progressive intensity and continuous effort treadmill protocol (h/p/Cosmos Quasar med, Nussdorf-Traunstein, Germany). Oxygen uptake (VO2), carbon dioxide output (VCO2) and minute ventilation were measured on-line using a breath-by-breath cardiopulmonary exercise testing system (Quark PFT Ergo, Cosmed Srl, Rome, Italy). Before each test, ambient conditions were measured and the gas analyzers and turbine flowmeter were calibrated with known certified gas concentrations (16% O2, 5% CO2, and balance N2) and a 3-litre (L) calibration syringe, respectively, following the manufacturer’s instructions. During the incremental testing period, heart rate (HR) was monitored continuously using a wireless HR monitor (Polar RS800 SD, Finland) and was synchronized to ventilatory signals. Breath-by-breath VO2 was smoothed using a five-step average filter, and then reduced to 15 s stationary averages (Data Management Software, Cosmed, Rome, Italy) to reduce the noise so as to enhance the underlying characteristics [23].

To make sure the players were properly warmed up, prepared, and accustomed to the treadmill, each participant had to warm-up for 6 min at their own pace. Then the players were allowed to stop and stretch for about 3 min. Following the warm-up, players started running at 7 km/h (0% slope) with speed increments of 1 km/h every minute until they could no longer keep pace. The players were instructed to run until voluntary exhaustion, and given strong verbal encouragement throughout the test to elicit their best performance. The VO2max was defined as the highest 15 s VO2 value reached during the incremental test. Achievement of VO2max was considered as the attainment of at least two of the following criteria: 1) a plateau in VO2 despite increasing speed, 2) a respiratory exchange ratio above 1.10, and 3) a HR (heart rate) within 10 beats per minute of age-predicted maximum HR (220–age) [24].

The VO2max values were expressed as absolute value (ml·kg⁻¹) and relative value (milliliters per minute per body mass; ml·kg⁻¹·min⁻¹). Time to exhaustion was recorded as the time from the start of the run until the point of exhaustion (the time at which the subject could no longer maintain the pace of the treadmill). AT was estimated noninvasively using the V-slope method [20], which depends upon the increase in CO2 output due to the excess CO2 production from bicarbonate buffering of metabolic acidosis compared to the O2 uptake during the incremental exercise test [21]. The values of VO2 (ATVO2), heart rate (ATHR), time (AT TIME) and running speed (AT SPEED) corresponding to the AT were determined. In addition, the data related to AT were expressed as a percentage of VO2max (AT %VO2max) and HRmax (AT %HRmax).

**Statistical analyses**

Data are reported as means ± standard deviation (SD). Statistical significance was accepted at p < 0.05. The normality of the data was examined by assessing the Shapiro-Wilk test on all measured variables. Ages, ATVO2, ATHR and AT %VO2max data were not normally distributed and so comparisons between the groups were made using the Whitney-U test. As the other data showed normal distribution, the differences in measures between groups were evaluated by unpaired t-test. The SPSS version 16 was used for all analyses (16, SPSS Inc. Chicago, IL). Linear regression analyses were performed by using the Sigma Plot program (SigmaPlot 12.0, Systat Software Inc., Chicago, USA) to determine the anaerobic thresholds of the athletes.

**Results**

The physical characteristics of both groups are presented in Table 1. There were no significant differences between the age, height, body mass and sports ages of soccer players and handball players compared to each other (p > 0.05). There were no significant differences between the two groups in the time to exhaustion (min), HR (beat min⁻¹), absolute VO2max (ml·min⁻¹) and relative VO2max (ml·kg⁻¹·min⁻¹) (p > 0.05; Table 2). Similarly there were no statistically significant difference between the two groups

### Table 1 The physical characteristics of the handball and soccer players (Mean ± SD)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Handball Players</th>
<th>Soccer Players</th>
<th>p</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age (year)</td>
<td>23.1 ± 5.17</td>
<td>22.3 ± 3.68</td>
<td>0.51</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Height (cm)</td>
<td>188.2 ± 8.92</td>
<td>181.7 ± 5.01</td>
<td>0.60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Body Mass (kg)</td>
<td>85.9 ± 12.76</td>
<td>77.4 ± 8.34</td>
<td>0.09</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sport age (year)</td>
<td>14 ± 6.73</td>
<td>13.3 ± 3.43</td>
<td>0.77</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Table 2 Cardiopulmonary exercise test results of handball and soccer players (Mean ± SD)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Handball Players</th>
<th>Soccer Players</th>
<th>p</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>VO2max (ml kg⁻¹·min⁻¹)</td>
<td>50.87 ± 5.91</td>
<td>48.72 ± 4.17</td>
<td>0.36</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VCO2max (ml min⁻¹)</td>
<td>3901.2 ± 506</td>
<td>4138.4 ± 351.3</td>
<td>0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Time to exhaustion (min)</td>
<td>9.99 ± 1.70</td>
<td>9.76 ± 1.48</td>
<td>0.75</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HR (beat min⁻¹)</td>
<td>196.4 ± 8.6</td>
<td>188.4 ± 10.6</td>
<td>0.82</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Relative VO2 at AT (ml kg⁻¹·min⁻¹)</td>
<td>40.68 ± 8.98</td>
<td>37.56 ± 4.13</td>
<td>0.49</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Absolute VO2 at AT (ml min⁻¹)</td>
<td>3074.5 ± 606.9</td>
<td>3215.8 ± 506</td>
<td>0.57</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AT % VO2max</td>
<td>79.6 ± 11.2</td>
<td>77.2 ± 7.1</td>
<td>0.70</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HR at AT</td>
<td>170.5 ± 17</td>
<td>160.9 ± 7</td>
<td>0.10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AT % HR</td>
<td>86.6 ± 5.6</td>
<td>85.5 ± 3.3</td>
<td>0.58</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Time at AT (min)</td>
<td>4.96 ± 1.97</td>
<td>4.13 ± 1.5</td>
<td>0.30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Speed at AT (km h⁻¹)</td>
<td>11.6 ± 2.06</td>
<td>10.7 ± 1.56</td>
<td>0.28</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
in the AT \text{SPEED} (km h^{-1}), \text{AT TIME} (\text{min}), \text{AT HR}\%, \text{absolute AT VO}_{2}\text{(ml min}^{-1}), \text{relative AT VO}_{2}\text{(ml kg}^{-1} \text{min}^{-1}), \text{AT \%VO}_{2\text{max}} \text{and AT \%HR}_{\text{max}} (P>0.05).

Discussion

Athletes with high VO_{2\text{max}} and AT can more easily tolerate high intensity exercise, and perform exercise for longer periods of time in homeostatic conditions [10, 11, 12, 25]. Physiological measurements of VO_{2\text{max}} and AT are important for monitor the training status of athletes, and determining the optimal training intensity [16, 22]. In the present study, there were no significant differences between the two groups in the time to exhaustion, VO_{2\text{max}} and AT, suggesting that the aerobic endurance levels of soccer and handball players may be similar. Although soccer and handball require different movement patterns, they may exhibit similar aerobic endurance capacity. Hence physiological requirements in both branches may be expected to be similar in relation to training volume and intensity.

In order to be able to comment on the aerobic capacity, it is necessary to evaluate together the whole factors that determine the rate and amount of use of oxygen at tissue level. The analysis of the respiratory gas exchange with cardiopulmonary exercise tests give the opportunity to assess the cellular, cardiovascular and pulmonary responses to metabolic stress created during exercise [17, 18]. VO_{2\text{max}} is regarded as the best determinant of aerobic capacity and important criterion affecting aerobic endurance performance [4, 18]. There is a limited number of studies in the literature comparing aerobic capacities of soccer players and handball players with each other by cardiopulmonary exercise tests. Our findings have shown that there are no significant difference absolute VO_{2\text{max}} (ml min^{-1}) and relative VO_{2\text{max}} (ml kg^{-1} min^{-1}) values between soccer and handball players with similar sports ages and physical characteristics. In contrast to our findings, Malacko et al. showed that the VO_{2\text{max}} values of soccer players in the first league were higher than handball players in the first league [26]. On the other hand, it is seen that in their study VO_{2\text{max}} values (55.32 ml kg^{-1} min^{-1}) of soccer players are higher than soccer players participated in our study [26]. This difference may be due to the fact that soccer players played in the third league and handball players played in the second league in our study. Similarly, Ferreira et al. showed that VO_{2\text{peak}} values of young (age 17.7 years) and professional (age 23.2 years) soccer players were significantly higher than those of handball players (age 19 years) [27]. During a 90-minute soccer match, players run about 10km at an average 80–90% of maximal heart rate [28]. On the other hand, during a 60-minute handball match, players run about 4 to 6 km at a mean intensity close to 80–90% of maximal heart rate [29]. This information suggests that intensity of game in both branches similar, but that there may be differences in aerobic endurance performances of athletes when the duration of the game or the distance covered is taken into consideration.

In soccer and handball, players perform different types of physical activities requiring muscular power such as the various sprints, jumps, and quick changes of direction. The players’ success depends on ability to repeatedly these physical activities at maximal or near maximal efforts with limited recovery over the duration of a match [1, 2]. Players require well-developed aerobic capacity to maintain maximal performance throughout the match and to recover faster during the short periods of lower intensity or rest [9, 11, 12]. The handball players with a higher VO_{2\text{max}} can run at higher speeds during a match and can sprint more [14]. In the literature, it is seen that the handball players’ VO_{2\text{max}} values measured by the cardiopulmonary exercise tests have different results. Our findings were consistent with those of Vujkov et al. reported that professional handball players’ VO_{2\text{max}} values are 48.4 ml kg^{-1} min^{-1} [30]. On the other hand, Sporis et al. reported that VO_{2\text{max}} values of elite handball players are 54 ml kg^{-1} min^{-1} [31]. Similarly, Buchheit et al. reported that VO_{2\text{max}} values of handball players 57.3ml kg^{-1} min^{-1} [32]. Previous studies have shown a significant correlation between VO_{2\text{max}} and distance covered during a soccer match [33, 34]. Similar to our findings, Clark et al. (2008) reported that the VO_{2\text{max}} values of professional male soccer players were 49.5 ml kg^{-1} min^{-1} [35]. On the other hand, in the literature, there are many studies showed that the VO_{2\text{max}} values of professional soccer players are higher than our findings [26, 28, 36, 37]. It can be suggested that the differences in the VO_{2\text{max}} values in the literature may be due to the difference in the training levels of the players.

Because of the difference in the metabolic systems in which energy is obtained during exercise, the intensity of exercise corresponding to AT must be known for the interpretation of performance and the preparation of the scientific training program. Exercise intensity corresponding to AT varies according to the physical conditioning of athlete. AT is considered an important criterion in assessing aerobic endurance [7, 16]. In the present study, there were no significant difference between the heart rate, time, running speed, absolute VO_{2} (ml min^{-1}) and relative VO_{2} (ml kg^{-1} min^{-1}) values at the AT of soccer players and handball players. When AT expressed as a percentage of VO_{2\text{max}} and HR\%, it was also seen that there were no significant difference between the AT values of soccer players and handball players. Ferreira et al. showed that there was no significant difference between the running speed and heart rate at the AT of young soccer players and handball players.26 On the other hand, they found that the running speed and heart rate at the AT of professional soccer players were significantly higher than both groups [27]. These results suggest that the level of league played by players may be a determinant of VO_{2\text{max}} and AT.

There are a limited number of studies examining the anaerobic threshold values of handball players. In the study by Pontago et al. professional handball players’ AT values (41.1 ml kg^{-1} min^{-1}, 88.7 \%VO_{2\text{max}}, 91 \%HR_{\text{max}}) of determining by blood lactate measurements, were higher than our handball players [38]. The reason this may be
attributed to differences in the measurement techniques. As a matter of fact, in their study [38], VO₂max (46.4 ml kg⁻¹min⁻¹) values of handball players in the first league seem to be similar to our study group. It is seen that in the literature, different results are revealed in the studies that determine AT with the gas exchange methods in soccer players. Similar to our research findings, Al Hazzaa et al. determined VO₂ values at AT of elite football players as 43.60 ml kg⁻¹min⁻¹ [39]. In the study by Clark et al. professional soccer players’ VO₂ values at AT (34.1 ml kg⁻¹min⁻¹) were lower than our handball players [35]. In the study by Chin et al. AT values (47.2 ml kg⁻¹min⁻¹) of the professional soccer players were higher than our soccer players [36]. In another study, Casajus et al. reported values of the soccer players (59.1 and 65.5 ml kg⁻¹ min⁻¹) were higher than in our study [36, 37]. On the other hand, when AT expressed as a percentage of HRmax, it is seen that our findings are similar to those of this study. The authors have no conflicts of interest relevant to this study.
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### Conclusions

In the present study, no significant difference was observed between VO₂max and AT values of professional soccer players and handball players, suggesting that the aerobic endurance levels of soccer and handball players may be similar. These findings suggest that although, soccer and handball are different sports branches in terms of game rules and technical features, the players in both branches may be performing similar training loads (intensity and volume). On the other hand, considering that the duration of the soccer game is longer than the handball and the playing field is larger, it can be expected that the aerobic capacities of the soccer players are higher than handball players. The fact that the soccer and handball players in this study competing in the third and second division of the league respectively, may be a factor for their similar level of aerobic capacities. It is suggested that detailed studies related to aerobic capacity should be carried out on soccer players and handball players playing in different divisions.
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